

The Hon. Richard A. Jones

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
CHRISTIANA AUSTIN,
Defendant.

No. 2:24-cr-00011-RAJ

ORDER OF FORFEITURE

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Motion for Order of Forfeiture (the "Motion") seeking to forfeit, to the United States, Defendant Christiana Austin's interest in a sum of money (also known as a forfeiture money judgment) in the amount of \$126,400.00, reflecting proceeds Defendant obtained from her commission of *Wire Fraud*, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343, as charged in Count 1 of the Indictment. The Court, having reviewed the United States' Motion, as well as the other papers and pleadings filed in this matter, hereby FINDS an Order of Forfeiture is appropriate because:

- The proceeds of *Wire Fraud*, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343, are forfeitable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c);

- 1 • In her Plea Agreement, Defendant agreed to forfeit this \$126,400 sum of
- 2 money pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C.
- 3 §2461(c), as it reflects proceeds Defendant obtained from her commission
- 4 of *Wire Fraud*, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343, as charged in Count 1 of
- 5 the Indictment, to which she pleaded guilty (Dkt. No. 67, ¶ 13); and
- 6 • The forfeiture of this \$126,400.00 sum of money is personal to Defendant;
- 7 pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure (“Fed. R. Crim. P.”)
- 8 32.2(c)(1), no third-party ancillary process is required before forfeiting it.
- 9

10 NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

11 1. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. §2461(c), and

12 her Plea Agreement, Defendant’s interest in the above-identified \$126,400.00 sum of

13 money is fully and finally forfeited, in its entirety, to the United States;

14 2. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(4)(A)–(B), this Order will become

15 final as to Defendant at the time she is sentenced; it will be made part of the sentence;

16 and it will be included in the judgment;

17 3. No right, title, or interest in the identified sum of money exists in any party

18 other than the United States;

19 4. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(e), in order to satisfy this \$126,400.00

20 sum of money, in whole or in part, the United States may move to amend this Order, at

21 any time, to include substitute property having a value not to exceed \$126,400.00; and

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

1 5. The Court will retain jurisdiction in this case for the purpose of enforcing
2 this Order, as necessary.

3
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5
6 DATED this 25th day of November, 2024.

7
8 

9 The Honorable Richard A. Jones
10 United States District Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27